Interview Summary	10/046,257	MATSUMOTO, MUTSUMI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MATTHEW ZIMMERMAN	3625
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>MATTHEW ZIMMERMAN</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>JOHN BEDNARZ</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 August 2009.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>ALL</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>ALL</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney of record, John Bednarz, spoke with the Examiner regarding the pending application. The Examiner proposed canceling claims 16-17 in order to place the application in condition for potential allowance. Mr. Bednarz agreed to such changes along with additional amendments to remove several 35 U.S.C. 101 and 112 issues. This concluded the interview. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
I		

Application No.

Applicant(s)